

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,434	01/11/2002	Peter A. Warren	FM-169J	9313
759	07/03/2002			
Iandiorio & Teska			EXAMINER	
260 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02451-1018			TRAN A, PHI DIEU N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3637		
		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/044,434	WARREN, PETER A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phi D A	3637				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	January 2002 .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 22-69 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-69</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,434

Art Unit: 3637

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 22-69 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6321503 in view of Besaw(6135030).

Patent No. 6321503 shows all the claimed limitations except for the first tube being made of layers of material, an electrical conductor disposed in the tube, at least one transducer device located proximate a hinge area, a second tube disposed inside the first tube.

Besaw shows a tube made of layers of material, a second tube disposed inside the first tube.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Patent No. 6321503 to show the first tube being made of layers of material, an electrical conductor disposed in the tube, at least one transducer device located proximate a hinge area, a second tube disposed inside the first tube because having the first tube made of layers of material or having a tube disposed inside the first tube is well-known in the art for forming a strong tubular structure, and having a transducer located proximate a hinge area and an

Application/Control Number: 10/044,434

Art Unit: 3637

electrical conductor disposed in the tube would enable the controlled folding and opening of the tubular structure.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different tubular structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A June 28, 2002